

# Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

MAR 1 3 1998

The Honorable Judd Gregg United States Senate 393 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Gregg:

Thank you for your letter dated January 20, 1998, on behalf of your constituents, Timothy J. Thompson of Rochester, New Hampshire, and Gossett McRae of Goffstown, New Hampshire, concerning the placement and construction of facilities for the provision of personal wireless services and radio and television broadcast services in their communities. Your constituents' letter refer to issues being considered in three proceedings that are pending before the Commission. In MM Docket No. 97-182, the Commission has sought comments on a Petition for Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making filed by the National Association for Broadcasters and the Association for Maximum Service Television. In this proceeding, the petitioners ask the Commission to adopt a rule limiting the exercise of State and local zoning authority with respect to broadcast transmission facilities in order to facilitate the rapid build-out of digital television facilities, as required by the Commission's rules to fulfill Congress' mandate. In WT Docket No. 97-192, the Commission has sought comment on proposed procedures for reviewing requests for relief from State and local regulations that are alleged to impermissibly regulate the siting of personal wireless service facilities based on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, and related matters. Finally, in DA 96-2140 and FCC 97-264, the Commission twice sought comments on a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association seeking relief from certain State and local moratoria that have been imposed on the siting of commercial mobile radio service facilities

Because all of these proceedings are still pending, we cannot comment on the merits of the issues at this time. However, I can assure you that the Commission is committed to providing a full opportunity for all interested parties to participate. The Commission has formally sought public comment in all three proceedings and, as a result, has received numerous comments from State and local governments, service providers, and the public at large. Your letter, your constituents' letters, as well as this response, will be placed in the record of all three proceedings and will be given full consideration.

At the same time, the Commission is actively pursuing initiatives that we hope will render any Commission action limiting State and local authority unnecessary. Commission staff, working with the Commission's Local and State Government Advisory Committee, is bringing together representatives of industry and municipal governments to discuss mutually acceptable solutions to the challenges posed by facilities siting. Chairman Kennard has stated that preemption of local zoning authority should be a remedy of last resort, and that the Commission should not consider preemption until the possibilities for constructive dialogue have been exhausted.

Further information regarding the Commission's policies toward personal wireless service facilities siting, including many of the comments in the two proceedings involving personal wireless service facilities, is available on the Commission's internet site at http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/siting.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

fr Steven E. Weingarten

shake conlug

Acting Chief, Commercial Wireless Division Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

JUDD GREGG NEW HAMPSHIRE

CHIEF DEPUTY WHIP

COMMITTEES:

United States Senate

(202) 224-3324

**APPROPRIATIONS** 

BUDGET

LABOR AND HUMAN RESOURCES

January 20, 1998

OFFICES:

7 0% . . V4-2-4...

125 N. MAIN STREET CONCORD, NH 03301 (603) 225-7115

28 WEBSTER STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03104 10001-000-7072 1

> 3 GLEN AVENUE BERLIN NH 03570 (603) 752-2604

99 PEASE BOULEVARD PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 (603) 431-2171

97-182-

ivis. Karen Kombiun, Legislative Affairs Federal Communications Commission **Room 808** 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

Dear Ms. Kornbluh:

Enclosed are copies of the letters I sent you on November 17, 1997, regarding constituents of mine, Timothy Thompson and Gossett McRae, concerning the Federal Communications Commission's actions regarding local zoning of cellular, radio, and television towers. This is an important concern that warrants consideration; as of this date, I have not yet received a response.

Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated. Thank you again for your assistance.

Sincerely,

U.S. Senator

JG/ica Enclosures

# Consult Manners

#### PLANNING DEVELOPMENT AND ZONING DEPARTMENT

City Hell - Second Floor 31 Websfuld Street ROCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03867-1917 (803) 336-1338

City Planning
Community Development
Economic Development
Zoning Department

October 30, 1997

Senator Judd Gregg Senator Robert Smith Representative John Sununu

Dear Senator Gregg, Senator Smith, and Representative Sununu:

We are writing you about the Federal Communications Commission and its attempts to preempt local zoning of cellular, radio and TV towers by making the FCC the "Federal Zoning Commission" for all cellular telephone and broadcast towers. Both Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a peculiarly local function. Please immediately contact the FCC and ten it to stop these efforts which violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress expressly reaffirmed local zoning authority over cellular towers. It told the FCC to stop all rulemakings where the FCC was attempting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction from Congress, the FCC is now attempting to preempt local zoning authority in three different rulemakings.

Callular Towers - Padiation: Congress expressly preserved local zoning authority over cellular towers in the 1996 Telecommunications Act with the sole exception that municipalities cannot regulate the radiation from cellular antennas if it is within limits set by the FCC. The FCC is attempting to have "the exception swallow the rule" by using the limited authority Congress gave it over cellular tower radiation to review and reverse any cellular zoning decision in the U.S. which it finds is "tainted" by radiation concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In fact, the FCC is saying it can "second guess" what the true reasons for a municipality's decision are, need not be bound by the stated reasons given by a municipality and doesn't even have to wait until a local planning decision is final before the FCC acts.

Some of our citizens are concerned about the radiation from cellular towers. We cannot prevent them from mentioning their concerns in a public hearing. In its rulemaking the FCC is saying that if any citizen raises this issue that this is sufficient basis for a cellular zoning decision to immediately be taken over by the FCC and potentially reversed, even if the municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements and the decision is completely valid on the other grounds, such as impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

<u>Cellular Towers</u> - Moratoria: Relatedly, the FCC is proposing a rule banning the moratoria that some municipalities impose on cellular towers while they revise their zoning ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the FCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission.



### PLANNING DEVELOPMENT AND ZONING DEPARTMENT

City Hell - Second Floor
31 Walterfield Street
ROCHESTER, NEW HARPSHIRE 03867-1917

City Planning Community Development Economic Development Zoning Department

Radio/TV Towers: The FCC's proposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bad. It sets an artificial limit of 21 to 45 days for municipalities to act on any local permit (environmental, building permit, zoning or other). Any permit request is automatically deemed granted if the municipality doesn't act in this time trame, even it the application is incomplete or clearly violated deed laws. And the FCC's proposed rule would prevent municipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be overridden by the FCC! And al appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts.

This proposal is astounding when broadcast towers are some of the tallest structures known to man—some over 2,000 feet tall. The FCC claims that these changes are needed to allow TV stations to switch to High Definition Television quickly. But The Wall Street Journal and trade magazines state there is no way the FCC and broadcasters will meet the current schedule anyway, so there is no need to violate the rights of municipalities and their residents just to meet an artificial destinate.

These actions represent a power grab by the FCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for cellular towers and broadcast towers. They violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it didn't like.

Please do three things to stop the FCC: First, write new FCC Chairman William Kennard and FCC Continuosionas Sasar New, March Michael Powell, and Gloria Tristani telling them to stop this intrusion on local zoning authority in cases WT 97-197, MM Docket 97-182 and DA 96-2140; second join in the "Dear Colleague Letter" currently being prepared to go to the FCC from many members of Congress; and third, oppose any effort by Congress to grant the FCC the power to act as a "Federal Zoning Commission" and preempt local zoning authority.

The following people at national municipal organizations are familiar with the FCC's proposed rules and municipalities' objections to them: Barrie Tobin at the National League of Cities (202-696-3194); Eileen Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors (703-506-3275); Robert Fogel at the National 'Association of Counties (202-393-5225); Kevin McCarry at the U.S. Conference of Mayors (202-293-7330); and Cheryl Maynard at the American Planning Association (202-872-0611). Please feel free to call them if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Timothy J. Thompson, Staff Planner

cc: See attached list.

#### Copy List

Senator John McCain 241 SROB Washington, DC 20510-0303

Senator Conrad Burns 187 SDOB Washington, DC 20510-2603

Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison 283 SROB Washington, DC 20510-4304

Senator Slade Gorton 730 SHOB Washington, DC 20510-4701

Senator Dianne Feinstein 331 SHOB Washington, DC 20510-0504

Representative Tom Bliley 2409 RHQB Washington, DC 20515-4607

Representative W. J. Tauzin 2183 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-1803

Representative Edward J. Markey 2133 RHOB
Washington, DC 20515-2107

Representative John D. Dingell 2328 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-2216

Paprecentative Bob Goodlatte 123 CHOB Washington, DC 20515-4606 Representative James Moran 1214 LHOB Washington, DC 20515-4608

Representative Bart Stupak 1410 LHOB Washington, DC 20515-2201

Representative Joe Barton 2264 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-4306

Ms. Barrie Tabin
Legislative Counsel
National League of Cities
1301 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, 6th Floor
Washington, DC 20004

Ms. Eileen Huggard
Executive Director
NATOA
1650 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 200
McLean, VA 22102-3915

Mr. Robert Fogel
Associate Legislative Director
National Association of Counties
440 First Street, NW, 8th Floor
Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Kevin McCarty
Assistant Executive Director
U.S. Conference of Mayors
1620 Eye Street, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20006

Ms Cheryl Maynard
Government Affairs Coordinator
American Planning Association
1776 Massachusetts Ave. NW, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20036



# RECEIVED NOV 0 3 1997

# Town of Goffstown

TOWN OFFICES

October 28, 1997

The Honorable Senator Judd Gregg 28 Webster Street Manchester, N.H. 03104

Door Seastor Gregg:

We manifely now show the Federal Communications Commission and its attempts to preempt local zoning of callular, radio and TV towers by making the FCC the "Failful ZSMME CAMBREGIAN" LAvall calcular religions and broadcast towers. Both Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a poculiarly local function. Please immediately contact the FCC and tall it to stop these efforts which violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress expressly reaffirmed local zoning authority over cellular towers. It told the PCC to stop all releasablings where the PCC was extraopting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction from Congress, the PCC is now attempting to present local zoning authority in these different relemptings. The Town of Goldstown, like many N.H. communities, took special care in constructing its zoning regulations to not discould be Manufactured and 1996-Telecommunications Act. but to be administered in accordance with the Act. We hope that you will do everything in your power to preserve local authority over these telecommunication structures as was intended by the Act.

Collular Towars - Redisting: Congress expressly preserved local maning authority over cellular towers in the 1996 Telecommunications Act with the sele exception that manicipalities cannot regulars the radiation from cellular antennas if it is within limits set by the FCC. The FCC is attempting to have the "exception swellow the rule" by using the limited authority congress gave it over cellular tower radiation to review and reverse any cellular zoning decision in the U.S. which it finds is "minted" by redistion concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In tact, the FLA is vaying that it can "proceed to the miss matters for a municipality's decision are, need not be bound by the stated reasons given by a municipality and doesn't even need to wait until a local" planning decision is final before the FCC acts.

Some of our citizens are concerned about the radiation from collular towers. We cannot prevent them from mentioning their concerns in a public hearing. In its relevanting the FCC is saying that if any citizen raises this issue that this is sufficient basis for a cellular zoning decision to immediately be taken over by the FCC and potentially reversed, even if the municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements and the decision is completely valid on other grounds, such as the impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

Cellular Tower-Moratoria: Relatedly the FCC is proposing a rule banning the moratoria trial some municipatities impose on cellular towers while they revise their zoning ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the FCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission.

Redit TV Towers: The FCC's proposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bad: It sets an artificial limit of 21 to 45 days for interceptable Waster Tay South Telefact (New 2008), and put in the senden, we when you have partific request is automatically deemed examined if the municipality doesn't act in this timeframe, even if the application is incomplete or clearly violates local law. And the FCC's proposed rule would prevent municipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be overridden by the FCC! And all appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts.

This proposal is astounding when broadcast towers are some of the milest structures known to man — over 2,000 feet tail, tailer than the Empire State Building. The FCC claims these changes are needed to allow TV Stations to switch the Tilly Technique Personal quality. The Till Course formulated and an apparatus that the rights of municipalities and their residents just to meet an artificial deadling.

These actions represent a power grab by the FCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for cellular towers and broadcast towers. They violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it didn't like.

Places do three things to stop the FCC: First write new FCC Chairmen. William Kennard and FCC Commissioners Susan Ness, Harold Furchagets-Roth, Michael Powell and Gloria Tristani telling them to stop this intrusion on local zoning authority in cases WT 97-197, MM Decket 97-182 and DA 96-2140; second, join in the "Dear Colleague Letter" currently being prepared to go to the FCC from many members of Congress; third, opposed any effort by Congress to grant the FCC the power to act as a "Federal Zoning Commission" and preempt local zoning suthority.

The following people at national municipal organizations are familiar with the PCC's proposed rules and municipalities' objections to them: Bestle Tabin at the National League of Cities, 202-626-3194; Elleen Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors, 703-506-3275; Robert Fogel at the National Association of Counties, 202-393-6226; Kevin McCarty at the U.S. Conference of Mayors, 202-293-7330; and Cheryl Maynard at the American Planning Association, 202-872-0611. Feel free to call them it you have questions.

Very truly yours,

Goffstown Planning Board

cc:

BORRA OF ACCOUNTS

FCC - OLIA 98/02/02 - 06:57

#### CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL MANAGER FCC ROUTING SLIP

PAGE 2

Control No: 98-00974

Document: 8764

Document Date: 98/01/20 BF Date: 1nput Date: 98/01/30 Due Date: 98/02/23

Bureau: Wireless Telecommunications

Reply: Closed:

Constituent: constituents

Modified By: WTB

Remarks: NEVER RECEIVED THE NOVEMBER LETTERS

Subject : inq: Re Land Use Restrictions on Siting, Placement & Construction of

Brdcst Transmission Facilities

Name: Gregg, Judd Org: United State

United States Senate

Address1: 393 Russell Senate Office Address2:

City: Washington , State: DC Zip: 20510

DATE	ASGN TO	DESCRIPTION	B.F.	RETURNED
98/01/31	BABROWN	REPLY IN FINAL		, "
	-			
:				
			-	

For	more	information,	please	contact	

**JUDD GREGG** NEW HAMPSHIRE

CHIEF DEPUTY WHIP

COMMITTEES:

United States Senate

**WASHINGTON, DC 20510-2904** (202) 224-3324

**APPROPRIATIONS** LABOR AND HUMAN RESOURCES

January 20, 1998

OFFICES:

125 N. MAIN STREET CONCORD, NH 03301 (803) 225-7115

28 WERSTER STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03104 (000: 000 7072 )

> 3 GLEN AVENUE BERLIN, NH 03570 (603) 752-2604

99 PEASE BOULEVARD PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 (603) 431-2171

97-182

ivis. Karen Kombiun, Legislative Affairs Federal Communications Commission **Room 808** 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

Dear Ms. Kornbluh:

Enclosed are copies of the letters I sent you on November 17, 1997, regarding constituents of mine, Timothy Thompson and Gossett McRae, concerning the Federal Communications Commission's actions regarding local zoning of cellular, radio, and television towers. This is an important concern that warrants consideration; as of this date, I have not yet received a response.

Your prompt attention to this matter would be greatly appreciated. Thank you again for your assistance.

Sincerely,

U. S. Senator

JG/jca **Enclosures** 



#### PLANNING DEVELOPMENT AND ZONING DEPARTMENT

City Hell - Second Ficor 31 Websiteld Street ROCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03867-1917 (803) 335-1336

City Planning Community Development Economic Development Zoning Department

October 30, 1997

Senator Judd Gregg
Senator Report Smith
Representative John Sununu

Dear Senator Gregg, Senator Smith, and Representative Sununu:

We are writing you about the Federal Communications Commission and its attempts to preempt local zoning of cellular, radio and TV towers by making the FCC the "Federal Zoning Commission" for all cellular telephone and broadcast towers. Both Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a peculiarly local function. Please immediately contact the rCC and ten it to stop these efforts which violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress expressly reaffirmed local zoning authority over cellular towers. It told the FCC to stop all rulemakings where the FCC was attempting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction from Congress, the FCC is now attempting to preempt local zoning authority in three different rulemakings.

Callular Towers - Padistion: Congress expressly preserved local zoning authority over cellular towers in the 1996 Telecommunications Act with the sole exception that municipalities cannot regulate the radiation from cellular antennas if it is within limits set by the PCC. The PCC is attempting to have "the exception swallow the rule" by using the limited authority Congress gave it over cellular tower radiation to review and reverse any cellular zoning decision in the U.S. which it finds is "tainted" by radiation concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In fact, the PCC is saying it can "second guess" what the true reasons for a municipality's decision are, need not be bound by the stated reasons given by a municipality and doesn't even have to wait until a local planning decision is final before the PCC acts.

Some of our citizens are concerned about the radiation from cellular towers. We cannot prevent them from mentioning their concerns in a public hearing. In its rulemaking the FCC is saying that if any citizen raises this issue that this is sufficient basis for a cellular zoning decision to immediately be taken over by the FCC and potentially reversed, even if the municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements and the decision is completely valid on the other grounds, such as impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

<u>Callular Towers</u>. Moratoria: Relatedly, the FCC is proposing a rule banning the moratoria that some municipalities impose on cellular towers while they revise their zoning ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the FCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission.



## PLANNING DEVELOPMENT AND ZONING DEPARTMENT

City Hell - Seasond Floor
31 Websituhi Street
ROCHESTER, NEW HARPSHIRE 03657-1917

City Planning Community Ogvelopment Economic Development Zoning Department

Radio/TV Towers: The FCC's proposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bad. It sets an artificial limit of 21 to 45 days for municipalities to act on any local permit (environmental, building permit, zoning or other). Any permit request is automatically deemed granted if the municipality doesn't act in this time trame, even it the application is incomplete to clearly violated and the FCC's proposed rule would prevent municipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be overridden by the FCC! And al appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts.

This proposal is astounding when broadcast towers are some of the tailest structures known to man—some over 2,000 feet tall. The PCC claims that these changes are needed to allow TV stations to switch to High Definition Television quickly. But The Wall Street Journal and trade magazines state there is no year the PCC and broadcasters will meet the current schedule anyway, so there is no need to violate the rights of municipalities and their residents just to meet an artificial destination.

These actions represent a power grab by the FCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for cellular towers and broadcast towers. They violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it didn't like.

Please do three things to stop the FCC: First, write new FCC Chairman William Kennard and FCC Commissioners County Name of Commissioners County Name of Contract of County Name of Contract of Contrac

The following people at national municipal organizations are familiar with the PCC's proposed rules and municipalities' objections to them: Barrie Tobin at the National League of Cities (202-696-3194); Eileen Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors (703-506-3275); Robert Fogel at the National Association of Counties (202-392-6220); Korin McCarry of the U.S. Conference of Mayors (202-293-7330); and Cheryl Maynard at the American Planning Association (202-872-0611). Please feel free to call them if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Timothy J. Thompson, Staff Planner

cc: See attached list.

#### Copy List

Senator John McCain 241 SROB Washington, DC 20510-0303

Senator Conrad Burns 187 SDOB Washington, DC 20510-2603

Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison 283 SROB Washington, DC 20510-4304

Senator Slade Gorton 730 SHOB Washington, DC 20510-4701

Senator Dianne Feinstein 331 SHOB Washington, DC 20510-0504

Representative Tom Bliley 2409 RHQB Washington, DC 20515-4607

Representative W. J. Tauzin 2183 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-1803

Representative Edward J. Markey 2733 RHOB \*\* 1707 Changain. Washington, DC 20515-2107

Representative John D. Dingell-2328 RHOB Washington, DC 20515-2216

Personative Bob Goodlette 123 CHOB Washington, DC 20515-4606 Representative James Moran 1214 LHOB Washington, DC 20515-4608

Representative Bart Stupak
1410 LHOB
Washington, DC 20515-2201

Representative Joe Barton
2264 RHOB
Washington, DC 20515-4306

Ms. Barrie Tabin
Legislative Counsel
National Lengue of Cities
1301 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, 6th Floor
Washington, DC 20004

Ms. Eileen Huggard
Executive Director
NATOA
1650 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 200
McLean, VA 22102-3915

Mr. Robert Fogel
Associate Legislative Director
National Association of Counties
440 First Street, NW, 8th Floor
Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Kevin McCarty
Assistant Executive Director
U.S. Conference of Mayors
1620 Eye Street, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20006

Ms. Cheryl Maynard
Government Affairs Coordinator
American Planning Association
1776 Massachusetts Ave. NW, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20036

tan anakaring palamen Panganan



# 44/4 V. C. C.

## RECEIVED NOV 0 3 1997

# Town of Goffstown

Same and the same

TOWN OFFICES The State Market Date of the Con-

WA 370 WTH HIM YOU GOVE MY 4 ---

October 28, 1997

The Honorable Senetor Judd Gregg 28 Webster Street Manchester, N.H. 03104

Door Senator Green:

Weren withouse show the Federal Communic cellular, radio and TV towers by making the FCC to "Finish 200 والمحرية التناق broadcast towers. Both Congress and the courts have long recognized that soming is a possibility local function. Please immediately connect the PCC and tall it to stop these effects which violate the innect of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress engreesly realitimed local zoning entherity over cellular towers. It told the PCC to stop all relaminings where the PCC was attempting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction flow Congress, the PCC is now attempting to precent local zoning authority in these different relengibility. The Journ of Guilleryn, like many N.H. communication, took special care in constructing its seeing regulations to his fill-fill-fill institution when the 1995-Telecommunications Act. but constructing its soning sag to be administered in asserdance with the Act. We hope that you will do everything in your power to preserve local authority over these telesconstruction structures as was intended by the Act.

Collular Torrara - Radiation: Congress especially preserved local mening authority over collular towers in the 1996 Telecommunications Act with the sole enception that municipalities connect regulate the radiation from collular antennes if it is within limits set by the PCC. The PCC is estumpting to have the "exception swellow the rule" by using the limited authority congress gave it over collidar sower redi tion to review and reverse any collular maning decision in the U.S. which it finds is "minted" by radiation concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In 1801, the star is suying the firm "income star star star in a manifestible star star in the star star in the star i are, need not be bound by the stated resease given by a municipality and doesn't even need to wait until a local planning decision is final before the PCC acts.

Some of our citizens are concerned about the radiction from callular towers. We connot provent them from mentioning their countype to a public hearing. In its relevables the PCC is saying that if any chizen raises this issue that this is sufficient basis for a cellular sening decision to immediately be taken over by the PCC and potentially reversed, even if the menticipality esqueetly says it is not considering such statements and the decision is completely valid on other grounds, such as the impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

Collular Towns-Monatorie: Relatedly the PCC is proposing a rule bunning the moreovite that some municipalities impose on cellular tewers while they revise their sening ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the PCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission.

2:17PM

Builte TM. Toward: The PCC's proposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bed: It sets an artificial limit of 21 to 45 chief the supposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bed: It sets an artificial limit of 21 to 45 chief the supposed rule would be received the supposed rule would prevent municipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be overridden by the PCC! And all appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts.

This proposal is assuming when broadcast towers are some of the tailest structures known to man — over 2,000 feet tail, tailer than the Respire State Building. The FCC claims these changes are needed to allow TV Stations to switch to High Substitute Polyvising quickly. But The Wall Court formation desire magazines state them is no wear the FCC breadcasters will meet the current schedule anyway, so there is no need to violate the rights of municipalities and their residents just to meet an artificial deafline.

These actions represent a power greb by the PCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for cellular towers and broadcast towers. They violate the insent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it didn't like.

Plance do three things to step the PCC: First write new PCC Chairman, William Kennard and FCC Commissioners
Susan Ness, Harold Purchagon-Roth, Mishael Powell and Gloria Tristani telling them to step this intrusion on local
zoning authority in cases WT 97-197, Mild Declart 97-182 and DA 96-2140; second, join in the "Dear Colleague
Letter" currently being prepared to go to the PCC from many members of Congress; third, opposed any effort by
Congress to great the PCC the power to act as a "Federal Zoning Commission" and preempt local zoning suthority.

The following people at national association organizations are familiar with the PCC's proposed rains and municipalities' objections to them: Basrie Tabin at the National League of Cities, 202-626-3194; Bileon Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors, 703-506-3275; Robert Fogel at the National Association of Counties, 202-393-6226; Kevin McCarty at the U.S. Conference of Mayors, 202-293-7330; and Charyl-Maynard at the American Planning Association, 202-872-0611. Feel tree to call them it you neve questions.

Very truly yours,

Goffstown Planning Board

cc:

HOURS OF SEMPERORS
Zoning Board of Adjustment